Magnetic Hydrogels Derived from Polysaccharides with Improved Specific Power Absorption: Potential Devices for Remotely Triggered Drug Delivery
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We report on novel ferrogels derived from polysaccharides (sodium alginate and chitosan) with embedded iron oxide nanoparticles synthesized in situ and their combination with thermally responsive poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) for externally driven drug release using AC magnetic fields. Samples were characterized by Raman spectroscopy, transmission electron microscopy, and magnetic measurements. The obtained nanoparticles were found to be of ~10 nm average size, showing magnetic properties very close to those of the bulk material. The thermal response was measured by power absorption experiments, finding specific power absorption values between 100 and 300 W/g, which was enough for attaining the lower critical solution temperature of the polymeric matrix within few minutes. This fast response makes these materials good candidates for externally controlled drug release.

1. Introduction

The technique known as magnetic hyperthermia is the raising of the temperature of a magnetic colloid (or targeted cells) from the magnetic coupling between the magnetic moment of the nanoparticles (NPs) and the applied alternate magnetic field. The ability to generate heat is measured by the specific power absorption (SPA), which is the power absorbed per unit mass of magnetic nanoparticles. Regarding the physical mechanisms behind heat generation by power absorption, some details of the model proposed by Rosensweig are still being discussed.

Among the heating agents (i.e., the magnetic nanoparticles), superparamagnetic iron oxide nanocrystals (i.e, Fe3O4) have been investigated to determine their use in raising the temperature of different target systems, such as polymers gels, polymer nanocapsules, membranes, and, as the largest field of application, cancer cells.

The combination of magnetic hyperthermia and controlled drug release is currently under intensive investigation for cancer treatments. By combining magnetic nanoparticles with thermosensitive polymers, an alternating current (AC) magnetic field can be used to trigger localized heating in vivo, which in turn causes a phase change in the host polymer to allow diffusion and release of drugs in which the drug dose can be modulated.

We have recently described a method to obtain ferrogels with response to temperature and magnetic fields, in which the iron oxide NP synthesis is carried out through coprecipitation of iron salts in alkaline solutions inside semi-interpenetrating (semiIPN) polymer networks constituted by alginate and poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) (PNIPAAm). These ferrogels exhibit an improved deswelling rate with respect to pure PNIPAAm. In addition, the synthesis in situ of iron oxide NPs inside Alg–PNIPAAm semi-IPNs allows controlling the polydispersity of the iron oxide NPs when compared to the reaction carried out in an alginate solution. In this way, the polymeric gel acts as a spatial framework to control the iron oxide NP size distribution. This method has also been employed for the in situ synthesis of iron oxide NPs inside chitosan ferrogels, obtaining systems with response to pH and magnetic fields.

In this work, we present results on magnetic properties and heating experiments on hydrogels derived from polysaccharides (chitosan and alginate), aimed at optimization of the physical absorption of magnetic power and subsequent heat release by varying the polymer composition and the nanoparticles content. The composite ferrogels have shown good response to external time-varying magnetic fields, opening the possibility of using them as smart drug delivery materials for bioapplications.

2. Experimental Methods

2.1. Preparation of Ferrogels. Semi-interpenetrating polymer networks constituted by alginate and PNIPAAm were obtained as reported elsewhere. The synthesis of iron oxide nanoparticles inside Alg–PNIPAAm semi-IPNs involves two steps. As a first step, gels are immersed in an acid solution containing Fe2+ and Fe3+. As a second step, the solutions are carefully immersed in an alkaline solution to oxidize the iron cations to iron oxide nanoparticles. For this work, two series with the same degree of cross-linking of the PNIPAAm (molBIS/molNAAm = 3%), and different alginate concentrations were synthesized: series I with 0.5 wt % sodium alginate (Alg0.5–PNIPAAm) and series II with 1 wt % sodium alginate (Alg–PNIPAAm). For each of these series, the oxidation procedure was repeated up to three times. The resulting gels were designated as Alg–PNIPAAm (1c) and Alg–PNIPAAm (3e), in which the numbers in parentheses denote the number of oxidation cycles (see Table 1). Representative TEM images taken on dried ferrogels with different alginate contents (0.5 and 1 wt %) subjected to one
TABLE 1: Composition of the Samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>series</th>
<th>sample</th>
<th>wt (\text{H}_2\text{O}) (%)</th>
<th>wt NPs* (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Alg0.5–PNIPAAm (1c)</td>
<td>94.9</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alg0.5–PNIPAAm (3c)</td>
<td>91.6</td>
<td>30.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Alg1–PNIPAAm (1c)</td>
<td>92.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alg1–PNIPAAm (3c)</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Chit2_30 min</td>
<td>95.7</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chit2_60 min</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Referred to the dried ferrogel.

Figure 1. Representative TEM images of iron oxide nanoparticles synthesized in Alg–PNIPAAm semi-IPNs subjected to one oxidation cycle: (a) Alg 0.5–PNIPAAm and (b) Alg1–PNIPAAm (scale bar = 200 nm). The inset in both figures shows iron oxide NPs at a higher magnification (scale bar = 10 nm).

oxidation cycle indicate that the iron oxide NP size is independent of the alginate concentration, being around 10 nm for both samples, as can be observed in Figure 1. A recent study indicated that the particle size slightly increased with the application of repeating oxidation cycles.

A similar procedure was carried out for the preparation of chitosan ferrogels (series III). Briefly, an aqueous solution of iron ions (0.21 g of FeCl\(_2\)•6H\(_2\)O and 0.58 g of FeCl\(_3\)•6H\(_2\)O) was added under vigorous stirring to a chitosan solution (4 wt %) in a N\(_2\) atmosphere to obtain a final chitosan concentration of 2 wt %. The solution was cast on a Teflon mold and carefully immersed in a 1 M sodium hydroxide solution in a N\(_2\) atmosphere. The immersion time in NaOH was 30 and 60 min, respectively. TEM images reported elsewhere showed a good dispersion of spherical iron oxide nanoparticles with an average size of 10 nm for 2 wt % chitosan ferrogels.

The mass of iron oxide nanoparticles in the ferrogels (\(W_{\text{NPs}}\)) was obtained from thermogravimetric analysis of dried ferrogels. The total water content, \(W_t\), was calculated from the weight of the hydrated gels (\(M_t\)) and the weight of the dried gels (\(M_{\text{dry}}\)) as

\[
W_t = M_t - M_{\text{dry}}/M_{\text{dry}} - M_{\text{NPs}}
\]

The data corresponding to \(W_{\text{NPs}}\) and \(W_t\) are reported in Table 1.

2.2. Magnetic Characterization. Magnetic measurements as a function of temperature and the field of the ferrogels were performed in a commercial SQUID magnetometer (MPMS-XL Quantum Design). Zero-field-cooled (ZFC) and field-cooled (FC) curves were measured between 5 and 300 K. Data were obtained by first cooling the sample from room temperature in zero applied field (ZFC process) to the base temperature. Then a magnetic field of \(H_{\text{FC}} = 100\) Oe was applied, and the magnetization was measured with increasing temperature up to \(T = 300\) K. After the last point was measured, the sample was cooled again to the base temperature, keeping the same field (FC process). Magnetization versus temperature data were then measured over increasing temperatures. Hysteresis loops were measured at 5 and 300 K for applied fields \(-5 < H < 5\) T.

2.3. Remote Heating on an Alternating Magnetic Field. SPA measurements were performed using a homemade ac applicator working at 260 kHz and field amplitudes up to 16 mT and equipped with an adiabatic sample space (\(\sim 0.5\) mL) for measurements in liquid phase. Temperature data were taken using a fiber optic temperature probe (Reflex, Neoptix) immune to radio frequency environments.

2.4. Raman Spectroscopy. Raman spectra were collected using a Renishaw InVia reflex Raman microscope. The Raman scattering was excited with a 785 nm near-infrared diode laser. A 100×, NA90 objective lens was used, giving a laser spot diameter of \(\sim 1\) µm, and the sampling depth is estimated to be around 1.5 µm (half-width of the confocal depth profile for a silicon wafer). To avoid thermal decomposition/degradation of the samples, the laser intensity at the sample was reduced to 0.5–1%. Spectra were obtained for a 20-s exposure of the CCD detector in the region 100–1800 cm\(^{-1}\) with a spectral resolution of 4 cm\(^{-1}\) using the extended scanning mode of the instrument, and the number of data acquisition cycles that make up the measurement was 2. Raman spectra were recorded and subsequently analyzed by using Wire software (Renishaw).

Results and Discussion

1. Molecular Structure of Iron Oxide NPs. As is well-known, wide-angle X-ray diffractograms corresponding to magnetite and maghemite are almost identical, and therefore, this technique does not allow a detailed characterization of the molecular structure of iron oxide NPs synthesized inside semiIPNs of alginate and PNIPAAm. That is the reason why we employed confocal Raman spectroscopy to determine the molecular structure of iron oxide NPs, which will have a great influence on the magnetization properties of the composite ferrogel, as we will show later on.

Representative spectra corresponding to series II (1 wt % alginate) are shown in Figure 2. The Raman spectrum corresponding to the sample without iron oxide NPs (Alg1–PNIPAAm) is also shown for comparison. The low-frequency region (300–800 cm\(^{-1}\)) contains the most characteristic Raman bands of iron oxides. The presence of iron oxide NPs in the samples subjected to 1 and 3 oxidation cycles, Alg1–PNIPAAm (1c) and Alg1–PNIPAAm (3c), respectively, is revealed by the appearance of two new peaks at 500 and 700 cm\(^{-1}\). The most intense band located at 700 cm\(^{-1}\) can be deconvoluted into two peaks centered at 670 and 720 cm\(^{-1}\) assigned to magnetite and maghemite, respectively. The bands detected at 500 and 670 cm\(^{-1}\) can be assigned to maghemite, \(\gamma\text{-Fe}_2\text{O}_3\), whereas the presence of the third band at 720 cm\(^{-1}\) is attributed to magnetite.

For the sample subjected to 3 oxidation cycles, Alg1–PNIPAAm (3c), the most representative Raman bands of iron oxide NPs, underwent a nearly 4-fold increase in intensity with respect to the sample subjected to one oxidation cycle, Alg1–PNIPAAm.
the appearance of one peak at 310 cm\(^{-1}\) and a broad band at 705 cm\(^{-1}\). Following the same procedure as in the case of series I and II, the band detected at 705 cm\(^{-1}\) can be deconvoluted into two peaks centered at \(~670\) and \(~720\) cm\(^{-1}\), which are assigned to magnetite and maghemite, respectively. In addition to these bands, the presence of a third band at 310 cm\(^{-1}\) should be attributable to maghemite. However, in this series, the band observed in Figure 3 at 500 cm\(^{-1}\), associated with maghemite, is not observed because it is totally overlapped with some characteristic bands and shoulders from pure chitosan.

In series III, the ratio \(I_{720}/I_{670}\) changes from 0.9 for samples subjected to one oxidation cycle to 1.1 for samples subjected to three oxidation cycles, which clearly indicates that the concentration of magnetite with respect to maghemite maintains almost constant with the immersion time in alkaline solution.

These results demonstrate a strong influence of the method of preparation on the maghemite/magnetite ratio. The application of a repeating number of oxidation cycles in Alg\(-\)PNiPAAm semiIPNs (series I and II) gives rise to the increase in the maghemite content. However, in chitosan ferrogels (series III), the maghemite/magnetite ratio does not get affected by the increase in the immersion time in an alkaline solution. These results have an important influence on the heating of these samples when subjected to alternating magnetic fields, as we will demonstrate later on.

2. Magnetic Properties. The magnetic parameters of the magnetic ferrogels were evaluated in relation to their efficiency as heating elements. As a general feature, magnetization measurements as a function of temperature (ZFC-FC) and hysteresis loops between \(T = 5\) and 300 K for all samples showed a single-domain behavior of the constituent NPs, having blocking temperatures at \(~T_B = 100\) K. Representative hysteresis curves for samples Alg0.5\(\)PNiPAAm (1c) and Alg1\(\)PNiPAAm (1e) are shown in Figure 4. The coercivity values extracted from \(M(H)\) curves (see Table 2) were found to be essentially zero at room temperature, in agreement with the blocking temperatures of \(T_B \approx 70\)–\(160\) K found in all samples. Therefore, at room temperature, the NPs that are inside the ferrogels are in the superparamagnetic state. At low temperatures, both the saturation magnetization, \(M_s\), and the coercivity \(H_c\) values obtained were comparable to previously reported data on similar magnetite (or maghemite) nanoparticles.\(^{31}\) Because of the similar size (and thus, similar surface/core ratio) of the NPs in all ferrogels, the contribution of surface disorder to the magnetic moment of the NPs is expected to be similar.

Magnetization curves as a function of temperature taken in zero-field-cooling and field-cooling modes for all samples confirmed the superparamagnetic behavior at room temperature with blocking temperatures ranging from 60 to 160 K (see Table 2). Representative ZFC–FC curves for samples Alg0.5\(\)PNiPAAm (1e) and Alg1\(\)PNiPAAm (1c) are shown in Figure 5.

The observed differences in the values of \(T_B\) obtained from \(M(T)\) data can be attributed to differences in the aggregation state in each sample, since the aggregation of NPs is known to modify the contribution from dipolar interactions to the anisotropy energy barrier, thus modifying the relaxation times of the nanoparticles.\(^{31,32}\)

3. Remote Heating on the Application of an Alternating Magnetic Field. We studied the capability of the synthesized ferrogels to remotely trigger the release process by evaluating their heating performance when submitted to an alternating magnetic field. Specifically, we measured the SPA of the NPs synthesized inside the ferrogels through temperature-vs-time profiles. The heating efficiency of the colloids was determined
from the temperature increase ($\Delta T$) of a given mass of the constituent nanoparticles ($m_{NP}$) diluted in a mass of liquid carrier ($m_{LIQ}$) during the time interval ($\Delta t$) of the experiment. The expression for power absorption, $P$, per unit mass of the magnetic material is given by

$$\Pi = \frac{P}{m_{NP}} = \frac{m_{LIQ}c_{LIQ} + m_{NP}c_{NP}}{m_{NP}} \left(\frac{\Delta T}{\Delta t}\right)$$

(2)

where $c_{LIQ}$ and $c_{NP}$ are the specific heat capacities of the liquid carrier and the nanoparticles, respectively. All experiments were done after a waiting time of 60–120 s with the magnetic field off, to allow thermalization of the samples to room temperature. Since the time dependence of temperature $T$ is not linear, the slope of the $T(t)$ is also a function of time. This is usually due to heat losses of the experimental setup, and thus, a criterion is needed to extract reproducible information from experiments. We have chosen the criterion of the maximum derivative for calculating our SPA values, since this criterion has two main advantages: first, the maximum slope $\Delta T/\Delta t$ happens during the first few seconds of the experiment, and therefore, during this short time, the heating process can be considered as adiabatic. Second, because it occurs during the first seconds after the magnetic field is turned on, the maximum slope is located at an absolute temperature close to room temperature.
irrespective of the final SPA value. Consequently, all SPA values are estimated at nearly the same (room) temperatures.

The specific heat, $c$, of each sample was estimated from the heat capacity of each component in the system (water = 4.12, $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$ = 0.94, chitosan = 1.19, and PNiPAAm = 1.2 J/g·K). Figure 6a shows the results of detailed magnetic field effect on 2 wt % chitosan ferrogels subjected to 30 min immersion times in NaOH (series III). As can be observed, the initial temperature rise is fast (i.e., $\approx 10 \, ^\circ\text{C}/\text{min}$) and it gradually decreases, although after 20 min of magnetic field applications and at $T \approx 60 \, ^\circ\text{C}$, the temperature was still increasing. This trend is also observed for Alg–PNiPAAm semi-IPNs (series I and II), as can be observed in the representative plot corresponding to the sample Alg0.5–PNiPAAm subjected to one oxidation cycle, as shown in Figure 6b, for which after 20 min of magnetic field application, the temperature reached is $T \approx 45 \, ^\circ\text{C}$. It is important to note that this temperature is well above the LCST reported for PNiPAAm in Alg–PNiPAAm semi-IPNs, and therefore, a rapid deswelling of the samples is observed during the course of the experiment.

Table 3 reports the SPA values ($W/g_{\text{sample}}$) obtained for all the samples under study. For each series, the SPA value increases with the iron oxide content, since the heat generation is proportional to the amount of magnetic material in each sample. It is worth noting that the SPA values obtained are comparable in all cases to other systems evaluated for magnetic hyperthermia; for example, the SPA reported for a poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) ferrogel (2 wt % $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$) was 1.45 $W/g$.33

The SPA values normalized to the iron oxide content show a different trend, as can be observed in Figure 7. For Alg–PNiPAAm semi-IPNs (series I and II), SPA ($W/g_{\text{NPs}}$) decreases with the number of oxidation cycles and hence with the iron oxide content being the SPA values corresponding to series II (1 wt % alginate) higher than the corresponding to series I (0.5 wt % alginate). On the contrary, SPA values exhibited by chitosan ferrogels (series III) remain almost constant with the immersion time in alkaline solution being 228 and 211 $W/g_{\text{NPs}}$ for the samples subjected to 30 and 60 min immersion time in NaOH respectively.

The efficiency as heating agents of iron oxide NPs shows a strong dependence of the SPA with the particle size, with maximum absorption of rf power within a definite value, $\langle d \rangle_{\text{max}}$. For a given material, the most important parameter determining $\langle d \rangle_{\text{max}}$ is the effective magnetic anisotropy of each material, as inferred from the Néel relaxation model in single domain particles.34 In addition, $\langle d \rangle_{\text{max}}$ depends on sample properties such as particle agglomeration and polydispersity. For magnetite, the Néel model predicts that $\langle d \rangle_{\text{max}}$ should be located around 25–30 nm.7

We have previously demonstrated that the average size obtained for the NPs does not vary with the number of oxidation cycles, being around 10 nm for all the samples,23,24 Therefore, a feasible explanation for the differences in SPA regarding series

---

**TABLE 3: SPA of the Samples under Study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>series</th>
<th>sample</th>
<th>wt % $\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4$</th>
<th>SPA ($W/g$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Alg0.5–PNiPAAm(1c)</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alg0.5–PNiPAAm(3c)</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Alg1–PNiPAAm(1c)</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alg1–PNiPAAm(3c)</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Chit2_30 min</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chit2_60 min</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>1.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
I and II is the combined effect of agglomeration and the different magnetocrystalline anisotropies of maghemite and magnetite that, for the observed average size of NPs, should give different contributions to the total SPA of the samples. The maghemite/magnetite ratio changes from 1.1 to 1.3 in series II (1 wt % alginate) and from 1.7 to 2.1 in series I (0.5 wt % alginate) when the number of oxidation cycles is increased from 1 to 3, as determined through confocal Raman spectroscopy. Hence, the results suggest a lower contribution of the maghemite crystalline form (the oxidized form from magnetite) to the total SPA of the system.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that the maghemite/magnetite ratio in iron oxide NPs synthesized inside polysaccharide hydrogels through coprecipitation of iron chlorides in an alkaline solution increases with the number of oxidation cycles, but it is independent from the immersion time in the alkaline solution. This, in turn, influences the heating response of the samples to alternating magnetic fields, which points to a lower contribution of the maghemite crystalline form (the oxidized form from magnetite) to the total SPA of the system.

In addition, we have observed a high-quality thermal response of the ferrogels synthesized, attaining final temperatures well above the required for the volume phase transition of the poly(N-isopropylacrylamide) ferrogels, and within short application times (∼10 min). This makes the present materials an excellent candidate for fast and controlled drug release by external magnetic fields. Experiments with hydroosoluble model drugs for quantifying the net amount of drug release as well as cytotoxicity effects of these materials are being performed as the necessary next step for bioapplications of these materials.
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